

bit chagrined to realize we only will have 3 weeks between the Fourth of July recess and the conventions in August.

I had really thought we would have four; if we could do five or six appropriations bills in that window. So we really are under pressure, with the 7 weeks we have in the summer, to move 11 appropriations bills. That is going to be a monumental task, and it is going to take work with each other on both sides of the aisle. I know that. We cannot move it without everybody giving it a shot. But it makes it awfully hard for us to be doing other issues, other than the China trade bill, which we hope to get worked in there at some point.

With that, I think we have talked enough about schedule. I hope we can come to some agreements over the next 10 days as to exactly how we will proceed the first week we are back.

I yield the floor.

COMMEMORATING FREE ELECTIONS IN CROATIA

Mr. GORTON. Mr. President, today I join with my colleagues, Senators FEINGOLD, HUTCHISON, ABRAHAM, and LIEBERMAN, who will introduce a resolution congratulating the people of Croatia on their successful parliamentary and presidential elections, the peaceful transition of power, and new initiatives for reform. In addition to congratulating the people of Croatia, the resolution expresses U.S. support for their progress and encourages Croatian participation in the NATO Partnership for Peace. One day, I hope that we will be expressing our support for Croatia, and other nations with similar democratic inclination, as members of NATO itself.

The Balkan nations embracing democracy must be supported at every opportunity available because the government could so easily have taken the other path. The leaders of Croatia could have chosen to repress popular involvement and other fundamental rights of democracy, but instead have chosen the harder but correct path of working through discourse, debate, and democracy. Because we have also been through these trials as a nation, I hope that the American people will watch closely the progress of the Croatian people and will support their path to freedom, stability, and peace.

The most important benefit to come out of this election will be the resolution of Croatia's domestic difficulties. Through the successful election, the Croatian people have taken the reins of control. In addition to the power instilled by this self-determination, the Croatian people are now spurred to take up the mission of reform that should further improve their government. Among the stated goals of President Mesic are the reintroduction of Serbian refugees to the homes they left behind, reform of the privatization system that has faced serious corruption

allegations, and support for the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia. These improvements would certainly go far to legitimize the new Administration in the view of the international community, but more importantly, in the eyes of the Croatian people. President Mesic's continued efforts on these fronts will show its people that their new government takes seriously the need for honesty and accountability.

As the government wins the support of its people, I am also encouraged by the efforts of the new Administration to get involved with the European community. In such a volatile region, a nation uniting the many groups will be the key to fostering a stable political and economic atmosphere. Part of the victory of democracy in Croatia has been the new spirit of regional harmony that I hope will spread to its neighbors. Peace in the Balkan nations will only come with honest attempts to live with differences, and Croatia will be a leader in the efforts for peace there.

In addition to better conditions in the Balkans, democracy will encourage the involvement of other foreign nations. Just two weeks ago, Croatian President Stipe Mesic met with French President Jacques Chirac to discuss an agreement on stabilization and association, as well as the Croatian entrance to the NATO Partnership for Peace. The resolution I am supporting today suggests U.S. support for the addition of Croatia in the partnership, and I am happy to inform my colleagues that the nations of NATO have announced that Croatia will become a full member of the Partnership for Peace program today. This is truly a great accomplishment, and it affirms the commitment of all NATO allies to help Croatia in its chosen path.

In addition to my appreciation for the democratic and international progress of the Croatian people, I would also like to take this opportunity to thank the work of the Croatian American Association in bringing this subject to my attention and to the attention of the American people. The Croatian American community has worked tirelessly to create bonds of friendship between our two nations, and I hope that as Croatia becomes more democratic and involved in worldwide political affairs that we, as Americans, will continue to support them.

I hope that this resolution will be an additional bond between two nations that democratic tenets have already joined.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SMITH of Oregon). The majority leader.

MEASURE READ THE FIRST TIME—H.R. 3244

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I understand H.R. 3244 is at the desk. I ask for its first reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 3244) to combat trafficking of persons, especially into the sex trade, slavery, and slavery-like conditions in the United States.

Mr. LOTT. I now ask for its second reading and object to my own request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

AUTHORIZING THE 2000 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SPECIAL OLYMPICS LAW ENFORCEMENT TORCH RUN TO BE RUN THROUGH THE CAPITOL GROUNDS

Mr. LOTT. I ask unanimous consent the Rules Committee be discharged from further consideration of H. Con. Res. 280, and that the Senate then proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. The clerk will report the concurrent resolution by title.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 280) authorizing the 2000 District Of Columbia Special Olympics Law Enforcement Torch Run to be run through the Capitol Grounds.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. LOTT. I ask unanimous consent the resolution be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and any statements appear in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 280) was agreed to.

NATIONAL MOMENT OF REMEMBRANCE

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Judiciary Committee be discharged from further consideration of H. Con. Res. 302, and the Senate then proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the clerk will report the resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 302) calling on the people of the United States to observe a National Moment of Remembrance to honor the men and women of the United States who died in pursuit of freedom and peace.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the concurrent resolution.

Mr. KERREY. Mr. President, I rise to offer my support for passage of H. Con. Res. 302, a resolution proclaiming a National Moment of Remembrance.

As we gather with family and friends in observance of Memorial Day, I urge all Americans to take time to reflect upon the day's true meaning. Whether we attend a public observance, mark a grave, or simply bow our heads in quiet reflection, all Americans should remember to honor those who by serving,

put their faith and trust in the ideals for which our nation stands.

The legislation we are about to pass will establish a National Moment of Remembrance at 3:00 local time on Memorial Day. At that time, I am hopeful all Americans will join together in recognition of those men and women who have died in military service of our nation.

Finally, I thank my colleague from Nebraska, Senator HAGEL, and Carmella LaSpada of No Greater Love for their efforts in making the National Moment of Remembrance a reality.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and finally any statements be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 302) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING THE CRIMES AND ABUSES COMMITTED AGAINST THE PEOPLE OF SIERRA LEONE

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate now proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Res. 315, submitted earlier by Senator HELMS for himself and others.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will state the resolution by title.

A resolution (S. Res. 315) expressing the sense of the Senate regarding the crimes and abuses committed against the people of Sierra Leone by the Revolutionary United Front, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, Sierra Leone is a failed state and merely hoping that a few new Bangladeshi or Indian peacekeepers will turn the situation around is irresponsible. The President should bear this in mind as he decides U.S. policy in Sierra Leone—especially the extent of U.S. military involvement there or support for a U.N. or regional peacekeeping or peace-making operation.

All of us—100 Senators—must remind ourselves that the rebels in Sierra Leone—the Revolutionary United Front (RUF)—cannot be dealt with as if it were a political party. The Revolutionary United Front has terrorized the population of Sierra Leone by mutilating their enemies—and innocent civilians, including women and children—by chopping off their ears, noses, hands, arms, and legs.

At some point the downtrodden people of Sierra Leone must find a way to hold their own leadership responsible. But it's impossible to overlook the fact that Liberian President Charles Taylor provides succor to the sadistic Revolutionary United Front.

Taylor (with enthusiastic participation of regional leaders, including

Maummar Qadhafi) provides leadership, weapons and safe haven while the RUF digs diamonds using slave labor in payment for services rendered.

It's shameful that President Clinton's hand-picked emissary hugs the godfather of the RUF like a brother and contemplates negotiating with his henchmen. Or had it not been for certain Congressional objections, the U.S. Government would be shoveling foreign aid to Charles Taylor.

Mr. President, the Resolution I offer, along with Senators BIDEN, FRIST, and FEINGOLD, speaks for itself. The Administration should take note, as it attempts to formulate U.S. policy, that at this stage of the game there is bipartisan "concern" (and I use that word in the most understated diplomatic fashion) about the policy of the United States and the sorry performance of the United Nations.

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, the showdown in Sierra Leone between the Revolutionary United Front (RUF) and the United Nations peacekeepers they have taken hostage, robbed, killed and humiliated has enormous implications for the future of the United Nations. It is a sort of Midway Island for UN peacekeeping: a loss there could doom future operations across the continent, and possibly further afield. However, a frantic effort to salvage the UN operation there by reinstating the unjust peace accord may win the battle for peace keeping operations in the short run, but it could be devastating for the UN and for Sierra Leone in the long run.

The Clinton administration and the United Nations have staked an unusual amount of capital on a successful UN mission in Sierra Leone. After the UN's shocking withdrawal from Rwanda in the days before the genocide began, a success in African peacekeeping became a must for the embattled Kofi Annan, who oversaw that withdrawal and later became Secretary-General.

The Clinton administration's motives for backing a massive UN peacekeeping operation agreement is harder to understand beyond a history of making multilateralization itself a foreign policy goal. With an almost mantra-like regularity, they have touted "African solutions for African problems." Yet two "African solutions" to the conflict in Sierra Leone were abandoned. In 1995-96, 300 South African mercenaries drove rebels from the capital and the major diamond fields, brought them to the negotiating table and set the stage for elections. Predictably, under donor pressure, they were forced to leave and the war resumed. Later, Nigeria led a West African intervention force and again restored peace by aggressively pursuing the sadistic but cowardly RUF.

Both of these "African solutions" were dropped because they conflicted with the dreamy notion that says a UN mission can end a war of unspeakable barbarity without getting its hands dirty. The West African regional force

cost a fraction of the UN mission and actually brought a modicum of peace to Sierra Leone, yet the administration never even requested from Congress the \$25 million needed to continue their presence. Instead, the Nigerians were given blue helmets and impotent rules of engagement then "reinforced" with Kenyan, Indian and Zambian troops that have been robbed of their weapons and taken hostage. The U.S. portion of the price tag for this disaster soared to \$118 million for next fiscal year alone.

The United Nations peacekeeping mission in Sierra Leone and the frantic effort to salvage it now would be defensible if the Lomé accord had ever been a viable peace. The agreement rewarded the rape, mutilation, forced conscription of children and killing campaign of the RUF with the vice-presidency, cabinet positions and exclusive domain over the diamond fields. Literally the only portion of the agreement implemented since it was signed in July of last year is the most outrageous and inexplicable: recognition of the RUF as a political party and a part of the government.

With the Lomé accord the RUF was given the privilege of reaping both the benefits of peace and the benefits of war simultaneously. It was a tragic and shameful contradiction that was obvious from the beginning. Because a successful UN peace agreement and peacekeeping operation had itself become the goal, rather than stability for Sierra Leone and defeat of the RUF, the contradiction was ignored. It was this self-delusion that was the West's greatest disservice to Sierra Leone, far exceeding our refusal to send our own troops.

Because the potential failure of the UN in Sierra Leone has made it high noon for all peacekeeping in Africa, including Congo, we may be in the process of repeating the mistakes of Lomé simply to win a short term battle for multilateralism. Making a deal with the devil once is unwise, making it twice is unforgivable. Trying to force the reality of the brutality and recidivism of the RUF and the failure of the Lomé accord to conform to our sense of order and to our desire for "clean hands" verges on international sociopathy.

I am not suggesting that we end the peace mission in Sierra Leone, but we cannot repeat the mistakes of the Lomé accord by again rewarding the RUF. To do so would set up a repeat of the current tragedy for Sierra Leone and indignity for the UN. Whether under the auspice of the UN or Nigeria, the rules of engagement in Sierra Leone must be realistic and aggressive. Most of all, we must seek accountability for the horrific war crimes committed there. It will be bloody and hard to watch, but not as horrific as the RUF has proven to be. For the sake of the suffering Sierra Leoneans we are supposed to be helping, accountability